

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

		•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,449	03/25/2004	Akihiro Yamada	119257	4865
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 07/19/2007 RIDGE PLC		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1992	28		BRINICH, STEPHEN M	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION

10808449 3/25/04 YAMADA, AKIHIRO 119257

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 EXAMINER

Stephen M. Brinich

RT UNIT PAPER

2625 20070711

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
		10/808,449	YAMADA, AKIHIR	YAMADA, AKIHIRO				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Stephen M. Brinich	2625					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the policy of the communication apport	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	ldress				
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me, cause the application to become	NICATION.  y a reply be timely filed  NONTHS from the mailing date of this control (100 to 100 to 10					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
		— s action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	on of Claims							
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[_]	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	• •	🗖	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) 🔯 Inforr	) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/8/04</u> . 6)  Other:								

### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 17 and claim 14, line 19, the phrase "enlargement ratios from the size of the source image to the size of the device image" is unclear as to how these two sizes are related by a plurality of more than one ratio.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-15 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Re claims 1 & 14 (and dependent claims 2-13 & 15), the art of record does not teach or suggest the generation of

Application/Control Number: 10/808,449

Art Unit: 2625

Page 3

intermediate data based on source image data and image-size specifying data, the intermediate data being the same as the image data of the source image and also including enlargement ratio data having a plurality of enlargement ratios, followed by the generation of image data in an output memory based on the intermediate data.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Tanio et al (each), Yamamoto, Otake, and Shibao disclose examples of processing and expanding page description language image data.

6. Any inquiry concerning the contents of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 571-272-7430.

Any inquiry relating to the status of this application or proceeding or any inquiry of a general nature concerning application processing should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 571-272-2600 or to the USPTO Contact Center at 800-786-9199 or 571-272-1000.

The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 8:00-5:30, alternate Fridays off.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,449

Art Unit: 2625

Page 4

The examiner's unit designation has been changed from "Art Unit 2624" to "Technology Division 2625" (as of March 20, 2006).

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 571-272-7437.

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 571-273-8300 (as of July 15, 2005).

Hand-carried correspondence may be delivered to the Customer Service Window, located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Stephen M Brinich

Examiner

Technology Division 2625

smb July 12, 2007